

AROMATIC NUCLEOPHILIC SUBSTITUTIONS UNDER MICROWAVE IRRADIATION

Gean V. Salmoria, Evandro Dall'Oglio, César Zucco*.

Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 88040-900 Florianópolis - Santa Catarina - Brazil.

Received 15 July 1997; revised 29 January 1998; accepted 5 February 1998

Abstract: In order to study the effect of microwave irradiation over aromatic nucleophilic substitutions at atmospheric pressure and in a homogeneous medium, experiments with disubstituted-benzenes and the nucleophiles piperidine and potassium t-butoxide, in refluxing DMSO or DMF, were carried out. The aromatic nucleophilic substitutions under microwave irradiation were 2.7 to 12 times faster than under conventional reflux. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Generally, aromatic nucleophilic substitutions are undertaken with difficulty, making them inappropriate for synthesis in the opinion of some authors.^{1,2} Many artifices are used in order to overcome these difficulties: electrochemistry, sonochemistry, catalysis by transition metals, more energetic conditions by using stronger nucleophiles, more polar aprotic solvents, and activating effects of electron-withdrawing substituents bonded to the aromatic rings. 1-5

In order to study the microwave irradiation effect over aromatic nucleophilic substitutions at atmospheric pressure and in a homogeneous medium, experiments with disubstituted-benzenes and the nucleophiles piperidine and potassium t-butoxide, in DMSO or DMF, were carried out (Schemes I and II).

$$G \longrightarrow X + \bigcap_{\substack{N \\ H}} G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow N$$
 Scheme I
$$G \longrightarrow X + KOC(CH_3)_3 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow OC(CH_3)_3$$
 Scheme II

The reactions were run on a Continental 2001 (2.45GHz/500W) adapted with an external reflux system and also under conventional reflux. The products arising were followed using UV-Visible spectroscopy by aliquot withdrawal, and after isolation, the products were characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

The results showed the same substrate reactivity order^{1,4} (leaving groups: $F > Cl \cong Br > I$, activating groups: $-NO_2 >> -COCH_3 > -CHO$) under microwave irradiation and under conventional reflux. The most reactive substrate was 4-fluoronitrobenzene and the least reactive was 4-iodonitrobenzene (Tables I and II).

Table I: A comparison of reaction time and yield in nucleophilic aromatic substitutions with refluxing piperidine and DMSO as solvent using microwave and conventional heating.

Substrate	Heating*				
	Conventional		Microwave		
	t_c	yield %	t_{mw}	yield %	t_c/t_{mv}
4-fluoronitrobenzene	30min	94	6min	93	5.0
4-bromonitrobenzene	20h	56	5h	55	4.0
4-iodinenitrobenzene	70 h	22	15h	20	4.7
4-fluoroacetophenone	120min	78	25min	80	4.8
4-chloroacetophenone	25h	48	6h	49	4.2
4-bromoacetophenone	50h	23	12h	21	4.2
4-fluorobenzaldehyde	150min	72	35min	74	4.3
4-chlorobenzaldehyde	28h	60	7h	58	4.0
4-bromobenzaldehyde	50h	18	13h	9	3.8

^{*} The results are the mean of three experiments.

Table II: A comparison of reaction time and yield in nucleophilic aromatic substitutions with potassium t-butoxide and refluxing DMSO as solvent using microwave and conventional heating.

Substrate	Heating*				
	Conventional		Microwave		
	$\mathbf{t_c}$	yield %	t_{mw}	yield %	t _c /t _{mw}
4-fluoroacetophenone	90min	83	7min	81	11.0
4-bromoacetophenone	50h	29	5h	31	10.0
4-fluorobenzaldehyde	120min	79	10min	75	12.0
4-chlorobenzaldehyde	22h	67	120min	68	11.0

^{*} The results are the mean of three experiments.

It is well established that fluoro compounds undergo substitution by various nucleophiles at a rate 100 to 1000 times faster than other halogen analogs,² and the displacement of halogens in 4-haloacetophenones and 4-halobenzaldehydes was found to be 50 to 1000 times slower than in 4-halonitrobenzenes.^{2,4}

The reaction rates of substitutions carried out under microwave irradiation at atmospheric pressure and in a homogeneous medium were 2.7 to 12 times faster than in conventional reflux. This ratio t_c/t_{mw} quantifies the microwave heating effect

For the reactions with piperidine, the rate enhancement magnitudes were modest and substrate-independent (Table I). The best results were for the reactions with potassium t-butoxide, an ionic nucleophile, indicating the influence of ionic species concentration in microwave organic reaction enhancement (MORE) due to ohmic effects^{6,7} (Table II).

Table III: A comparison of times and yields in nucleophilic aromatic substitutions with 4-Fluoro-acetophenone and piperidine using microwave and conventional heating.

	Heating*				
	Conventional		Microwave		
Solvent	$\mathbf{t_c}$	yield %	t_{mw}	yield %	$t_{\rm c}/t_{\rm new}$
DMSO	120min	78	25min	80	4.8
DMF	120min	73	45min	75	2.7

^{*} The results are the mean of three experiments.

The microwave induced rate enhancement is also strongly solvent dielectric permittivity dependent. The substitution reaction of 4-fluoroacetophenone with piperidine (Table III) showed a greater ratio in DMSO ($t_e/t_{mw} = 4.8$) than in DMF ($t_e/t_{mw} = 2.7$). The DMSO has higher dielectric permittivity than DMF (Table IV).

Table IV: Static dielectric permittivity of compounds used in aromatic nucleophilic substitutions.^a

Compounds	ε _s	Compounds	$\epsilon_{ m s}$	
4-fluoronitrobenzene	9.48	piperidine ^b	5.80	
4-bromonitrobenzene	8.09	$DMSO^{b}$	46.6	
4-iodinenitrobenzene	7.12	DMF^b	36.7	
4-fluoroacetophenone	6.05	benzene ^b	2.27	
4-chloroacetophenone	5.55	4-piperidinonitrobenzene	48.5	
4-bromoacetophenone	4.78	4-piperidinoacetophenone	10.5	
4-fluorobenzaldehyde	6.45	4-piperidinobenzaldehyde	11.3	
4-chlorobenzaldehyde	5.95	4-t-butoxiacetophenone	8.40	
4-bromobenzaldehyde	benzaldehyde 5.18 4-t-butoxibenzaldehyde		9.12	

a) Measured values at 25°C on Dekameter DK03 apparatus; b) Tabled values⁸ at 25°C

The solvent molecules are the majority in the solution, so the solution dielectric permittivity, 9 ϵ (eq. 1, X is the molar fraction), is almost the solvent dielectric permittivity (eq. 2). The energy dissipation is mainly due to solvent dipolar losses $^{10-12}$ in a heterogeneous way, 13 characterized by the occurrence of carbonizations.

$$\varepsilon_{sol} = \varepsilon_1 x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \varepsilon_3 x_3 \dots (eq. 1)$$
 and $\varepsilon_{sol} \cong \varepsilon_1 (eq. 2)$

lonic reaction rate enhancements induced by microwave heating or by ultrasound irradiation¹⁴ are similar. It suggests that similar forces may be responsible for these enhancements: hot spots are produced due to electromagnetic wave (microwaves)^{6,13,15-17} and mechanical wave (ultrasound)¹⁴ interaction with the reaction solutions.

Acknowledgments: We thank the UFSC Chemistry Department for the construction of the reflux system used in substitution reactions under microwave irradiation. We also thank CNPq and PRONEX for supporting, in part, this work.

References and Notes:

- Balas, L.; Jhurry, D.; Latxague, L.; Grelier, S.; Morel, Y.; Hamdani, M.; Ardoin, N.; Astruc, D. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1990, 127, 401.
- 2. Bader, H.; Hansen, A.; McCarty, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 2319.
- 3. Mengo, P. C.; Palacios, S. M.; Alonso, R.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 677.
- 4. Bunnett, J. F.; Levitt, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 2778.
- 5. Ross, S. D.; Finkelstein, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2603.
- 6. Metaxas, A. C.; Meredith, R. J. Industrial Microwave Heating; Peter Peregrinus: London, 1983.
- 7. Baghurst, D. R.; Mingos, D. M.P. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1992, 1151.
- 8 Dean, J. A. Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, Mc Graw-Hill Book Company: New York, 1985.
- 9. Garg, S. K.; Smyth, C. P. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 69, 1294.
- Partington , J. R. An Advanced Treatise on Physical Chemistry; Longmans: London, v. IV and V,
 1960.
- 11. Bottcher, C. J. F. Theory of Electric Polarization; Elsevier: Amsterdam, v. I and II, 1978.
- 12. Flugge, S. Handbuch der Physik, Dielektrika; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, band XVII, 1956.
- 13. Stuerga, D.; Gaillard, P. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 5505.
- 14. Einhorn, C.; Eihorn, J.; Luche, J. L. Synthesis 1989, 787, 11.
- 15. Berlan, J.; Giboreau, P.; Lefeuvre, S.; Marchand, C. Tetrahedron Letters, 1991, 32, 2363.
- 16. Abramovitch, R. A.; Abramovitch, D. A.; Tamareselvy, K.; Iyanar, K. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1991, 32, 5251.
- 17. Chemat, F.; Poux, M.; Berlan, J. Jv. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1994, 2597.